arXiv:1602.01429v1 [math.DG] 3 Feb 2016

ON CLOSED MANIFOLDS WITH HARMONIC WEYL

HUNG TRAN

Abstract. We derive point-wise and integral rigidity/gap results for a closed manifold with harmonic Weyl curvature in any dimension. In particular, there is a generalization of Tachibana's theorem for non-negative curvature operator. The key ingredients are new Bochner-Weitzenböck-Lichnerowicz type formulas for the Weyl tensor, which are generalizations of identities in dimension four.

Contents

1. **Introduction** 1
2. **Preliminaries** 4
2.1. Algebraic curvature 5
2.2. Curvature Decomposition 6
3. **Gradient Versus Divergent** 7
3.1. Integral formula 9
3.2. Harmonic Weyl 12
4. **Rigidity Theorems** 14
4.1. Point-wise 16
4.2. Integral 18
5. **Appendix** 21
5.1. Four-manifolds 22
5.2. Pure curvature 25
References 25

1. **Introduction**

Let (M^n, g) be a closed manifold. $\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{Rc}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{S}$ denote the Riemann curvature, Weyl tensor, Ricci tensor, traceless Ricci, and scalar curvature, respectively.

The study of the Einstein equation and its various curvature generalizations has a vast literature, see [4] for an overview. In fact, the Riemann curvature decomposes into three orthogonal components, namely the Weyl curvature, the traceless Ricci part, and a scalar multiple of the identity. All could be seen as operators on the space of two-forms. The Einstein condition says that the traceless Ricci part vanishes and the scalar is just a constant. That is, for an Einstein manifold of dimension at

Date: February 4, 2016.

least four, the problem of understanding the Riemann curvature reduces to investigate the Weyl tensor.

Our interest here is essentially about a generalization in terms of that tensor, namely harmonic Weyl curvature, and rigidity results.

For an Einstein manifolds, S. Tachibana shows that non-negative curvature operator implies locally symmetric [37]. Furthermore, S. Brendle extends the result by only assuming nonnegative isotropic curvature [7]. In dimension four, non-negative isotropic curvature is equivalent to a point-wise pinch of an eigenvalue of W by scalar curvature. In addition, rigidity of Weyl tensor, when its $L^{n/2}$-norm is small, has been intensively investigated, such as [36, 22, 10]

A generalization of the Einstein condition is to assume harmonic curvature. If the former corresponds to Ricci curvature being a constant multiple of the metric, then the later does to Ricci curvature a Codazzi tensor. This condition is also of interests due to its connection to the theory of Yang-Mills equation. Consequently, the topic has been studied extensively, such as [14, 24, 20, 39, 15]. In particular, rigidity results due to integral pinched conditions are obtained in [20, 15]. Also, A. Gray shows that, if (M, g) additionally has positive sectional curvature then it must be Einstein. In connection with our interests, harmonic curvature is characterized by harmonic Weyl curvature and constant scalar curvature.

Consequently, it is natural to investigate the condition of harmonic Weyl curvature. In this direction, most of the research has focused on the case of Kähler or dimension four. A Kähler manifold with harmonic Weyl must have parallel Ricci tensor [17, 38, 29]. In dimension four, Weyl decomposes into self-dual and anti-self-dual parts ($\mathrm{W}^{\pm}$). A. Polombo [33] derived Bochner-Weitzenböck formula for a Dirac operator and obtained applications. Then, M. Micallef and M. Wang [30] showed that a closed four-dimensional manifold with harmonic self-dual and non-negative isotropic curvature (on the self-dual part) must be either conformally flat or quotient of a Kähler metric with constant scalar curvature. As mentioned earlier, that curvature assumption is equivalent to a point-wise bound, for ω any eigenvalue of W^{+},

$$\omega \leq \frac{\mathrm{S}}{6}.$$

Furthermore, Gursky [18] made use of the point-wise Bochner-Weitzenböck formula for Weyl tensor to derive optimal L^2-gap theorems.

Unfortunately, in higher dimension, very little is known. Our purpose here is to fill in some of those gaps, deriving analogous rigidity results when assuming harmonic Weyl tensor. First, we show a generalization of Tachibana's theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M^n, g), $n \geq 5$, be a closed Riemannian manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor and non-negative curvature operator. Then (M, g) must be either locally conformally flat or locally symmetric.*

Remark 1.1. *In dimension four, the same statement holds and is a weaker version of Micallef and Wang's theorem. In higher dimension, we also obtain more general results in terms of pinching eigenvalues and norms, see Theorems 4.5 and 4.6.*

Next, by using the solution to the Yamabe's problem, we obtain an integral gap.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M^n, g), $n \geq 6$, be a closed Riemannian manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor and positive Yamabe invariant $\lambda(g)$. Then,*

$$a_1(n)||\mathrm{W}||_{L^{n/2}} + a_2(n)||\mathrm{E}||_{L^{n/2}} \geq \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}\lambda(g),$$

unless (M, g) is locally conformally flat. Here, for α the biggest root of the quadratic,

$$8(n-1)^2\alpha^2 - 2n(n-1)(n-2)\alpha + n(n-2)(n-3) = 0,$$

we have

$$a_1(n) = \frac{10(n-1)\alpha^2}{2(n-1)\alpha - n + 3}, \qquad a_2(n) = \frac{2(n-1)\alpha^2}{2(n-1)\alpha - n + 3}\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

Remark 1.2. *For large n, $\alpha \approx n/4$ and $a_1 \approx 5n/4$ and $a_2 \approx n/4$.*

Remark 1.3. *The casse $n = 5$ and $n = 4$ are treated somewhat differently, see Theorem 4.8 and Section 5.1 respectively.*

It should be noted that, if just diffeomorphism type is desired, there are general rigidity results. Several authors, G. Huisken [21] C. Margerin [27] and S. Nishikawa [31], independently showed that point-wise pinching on the Weyl and traceless Ricci curvature implies diffeomorphism to a space form. E. Hebey and M. Vaugon [20] extended it to $L^{n/2}$ pinching. Dimension four is also special; Margerin [28] obtained the optimal/sharp estimate in dimension four. Furthermore, by using a solution to a fourth order fully nonlinear equation in conformal geometry, S. Chang, M. Gursky, and P. Yang [11] obtained an L^2-sharp version.

Putting everything together, the picture becomes clearer. If W and E are small, either in point-wise or integral sense, then (M, g) must be diffeomorphic to a space form. If, furthermore, it has harmonic Weyl curvature then, isometrically, (M, g) must be conformally flat or locally symmetric.

The key ingredients in our proof are new Bochner-Weitzenböck-Lichnerowicz (BWL) type identities connecting the gradient and divergence of W. Here is a prototype.

Theorem 1.3. *For a closed manifold (M^n, g), $n \geq 4$, we have:*

$$\int_M |\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2 - \frac{(n-2)}{n-3}\int_M (\delta \mathrm{W})^2 = 2\int_M \left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle - \int_M \left\langle \mathrm{Rc} \circ g, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle. \tag{1.1}$$

Remark 1.4. *For relevant notation, see Section 2.*

Remark 1.5. *In dimension four, the result could be deduced from the work of Chang, Gursky, and Yang* [11, Equation 3.23]*, for details see Section 5.1. Also A. Lichnerowicz* [26] *derived an identity relating the gradient and divergence of Riemann curvature, which is a vital step in the proof of Tachibana's theorem.*

Remark 1.6. *For more identities, see Section 3.*

A BWL type formula basically implies that zero-order terms could control derivative terms, see [32, Chapter 7] or [9]. As a consequence, it is fundamental to obtain rigidity and gap theorems: assumptions of smallness on curvature quantity leads to vanishing results. Thus, our argument relies on the new identities to conclude that $\nabla \mathrm{W} \equiv 0$. Then, by a result of A. Derdzinski and W. Roter [13, Theorem 2], for a positive definite metric, parallel Weyl implies either locally conformally flat or locally symmetric.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we explain our convention and recalls several preliminaries. Section 3 discusses the connection between gradient and divergence of the Weyl tensor and proves several identities including Theorem 1.3. In Section 4, we obtain rigidity theorems, point-wise and integral. Finally, the Appendix collects some useful computation and explain why dimension four is special.

Acknowledgments: We thank Professors Xiaodong Cao, Richard Schoen, and Bennett Chow for various discussion and suggestions.

2. **Preliminaries**

Throughout the paper, we adopt the following notation.

- $\{e_i\}_1^n$ is a normal orthonormal frame.
- $\wedge^k$ is the space of k-forms, or fully anti-symmetric $(k,0)$ tensor.
- $S^2(.)$ is the space of self-adjoint/symmetric operators.
- $S_0^2(.)$ is the space of traceless symmetric operators.

The Riemann curvature $(3,1)$ tensor and $(4,0)$ tensor are defined as follows,

$$\mathrm{R}(X,Y,Z) = -\nabla^2_{X,Y}Z + \nabla^2_{Y,X}Z$$
$$\mathrm{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = -\left\langle \nabla^2_{X,Y}Z - \nabla^2_{Y,X}Z, W\right\rangle_g.$$

Remark 2.1. *Our (3,1) curvature sign agrees with* [4, 8] *and opposite to* [12, 19, 32]. *Our (4,0) curvature convention is the same as* [4, 19, 8] *and opposite to* [12, 32].

Consequently, we have the Ricci identity, for a p-tensor S,

$$\begin{aligned}(\nabla^2_{X,Y}S)(Z_1,...,Z_p) - (\nabla^2_{Y,X}S)(Z_1,...,Z_p),\\ = \sum_{i,k} \mathrm{R}(X,Y,Z_i,e_k)S(...Z_{i-1},e_k,Z_{i+1}..).\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

For any $(m,0)$-tensor T, its divergence is defined as

$$(\delta T)_{p_2...p_m} \doteqdot \sum_i \nabla_i T_{p_2...p_m i}.$$

For $T \in S^2(\wedge^2)$, $|T|$ denotes the operator norm (which is $1/2$ of the tensor norm). Accordingly, the convention of norms is as follows.

- If $T \in T^* \otimes S^2(\wedge^2)$, $|T|^2 = \sum_i \sum_{a<b;c<d}(T_{iabcd})^2$.
- If $T \in \wedge^2 \otimes T^*$, $|T|^2 = \sum_i \sum_{a<b}(T_{abi})^2$.
- If $T \in \Lambda^3 \otimes \Lambda^2$, $|T|^2 = \sum_{i<j<k} \sum_{a<b}(T_{ijkab})^2$.

2.1. **Algebraic curvature.** The exposition here follows from [4, Chapter I.G].

Let V be a real vector space equipped with a non-degenerate quadratic form g and an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Associated is an orthogonal group $O(g)$. The irreducible orthogonal decomposition of the $O(g)$-module $\otimes^2 V$ is the following,

$$\otimes^2 V = \wedge^2 V \oplus S_0^2(V) \oplus \mathbb{R}g.$$

We recall the Bianchi map on $\otimes^4(V)$,

$$b(R)(X,Y,Z,W) \doteqdot \frac{1}{3}(R(X,Y,Z,W) + R(Y,Z,X,W) + R(Z,X,Y,W)).$$

So we have the $GL(V)$-equivariant decomposition,

$$S^2(\wedge^2 V) = \mathrm{Ker}b \otimes \mathrm{Im}b.$$

Definition 2.1. $\mathfrak{C}V \doteqdot Ker(b) \cap S^2(\wedge^2 V)$ *is the space of algebraic curvature tensor.*

We recall the Ricci contraction, an $O(g)$-equivariant map $S^2(\wedge^2 V) \mapsto S^2(V)$,

$$rc(R)(X,Y) = \mathrm{tr}_g R(X,.,Y,.).$$

Conversely, the Kulkarni-Nomizu product gives a canonical way to build an element of $S^2(\wedge^2 V)$ from two elements of $S^2(V)$.

$$\begin{aligned}(h \circ k)(X,Y,Z,W) =& h(X,Z)k(Y,W) + k(X,Z)h(Y,W) \\ & - h(X,W)k(Y,Z) - k(X,W)h(Y,Z).\end{aligned}$$

The map $g \circ . : S^2(V) \mapsto \mathfrak{C}V$ is injective and its adjoint is precisely rc.

$$\langle g \circ k, R\rangle = \langle k, rc(R)\rangle . \tag{2.2}$$

If $n \geq 4$, the $O(g)$-module $\mathfrak{C}V$ has the following decomposition into unique irreducible subspaces,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}V &= \mathfrak{S}V \oplus \mathfrak{Rc}V \oplus \mathfrak{W}V; \\ \mathfrak{S}V &= \mathbb{R}g \circ g, \\ \mathfrak{Rc}V &= q \circ (S_0^2 V), \\ \mathfrak{W}V &= \mathrm{Ker}(rc) \cap \mathrm{Ker}b.\end{aligned}$$

Conveniently, $\mathfrak{S}V$ is just a multiple of the identity; $\mathfrak{Rc}V$ is the traceless Ricci part; $\mathfrak{W}V$ is the Weyl curvature. By symmetry, $\mathfrak{W}V$ is trivial in dimension less than four.

Next we collect some definitions of quadratic product on algebraic curvature tensors (they are also related to Ricci flow, see, for example, [19, 5, 8]).

Definition 2.2. *For algebraic curvature tensors R, S, we define,*

$$(R.S)_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p,q} R_{ijpq}S_{klpq}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(R\sharp S)_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p,q} \Big[&R_{ipkq}S_{jplq} + S_{ipkq}R_{jplq} \\ &- R_{iplq}S_{jpkq} - S_{iplq}R_{jpkq}];\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

$$R^2(X,Y,Z,W) = (R.R)(X,Y,Z,W) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p,q} R(X,Y,e_p,e_q)R(Z,W,e_p,e_q)$$

$$\begin{aligned}R^\sharp(X,Y,Z,W) &= (R\sharp R)(X,Y,Z,W) \\ &= \sum_{p,q}(R(X,e_p,Z,e_q)R(Y,e_p,W,e_q) - R(X,e_p,W,e_q)R(Y,e_p,Z,e_q)).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. *It is clear that both products are distributive with respect to addition. Also $\sharp$ is commutative.*

Even though $R^2, R^\sharp$ are not necessarily in $\mathfrak{C}V$, $R^2 + R^\sharp$ is. Furthermore,

$$rc(R^2 + R^\sharp)(X,Y) = \sum_{p,q} R(X,e_p,Y,e_q)rc(R)(e_p,e_q). \tag{2.5}$$

Finally, it is observed that, the 3-linear map,

$$\mathrm{tri}(R_1,R_2,R_3) \doteqdot \langle R_1.R_2 + R_2.R_1 + 2R_1\sharp R_2, R_3\rangle, \tag{2.6}$$

is symmetric in all three components $R_1, R_2, R_3 \in S^2(\wedge^2 V)$.

2.2. **Curvature Decomposition.** Using the algebraic disucssion above, the Riemann curvature has the following decomposition:

$$\mathrm{R} = \mathrm{W} + \frac{\mathrm{S}g\circ g}{2n(n-1)} + \frac{\mathrm{E}\circ g}{n-2} = \mathrm{W} - \frac{\mathrm{S}g\circ g}{2(n-2)(n-1)} + \frac{\mathrm{Rc}\circ g}{n-2}.$$

We note that, as $(4,0)$ tensors at a point x, $\mathrm{W} \in \mathfrak{W}(T_xM)$, $\mathrm{E}\circ g \in \mathfrak{Rc}(T_xM)$, $\mathrm{S}g\circ g \in \mathfrak{S}(T_xM)$ are orthogonal components. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{R} &= \mathrm{W} + \frac{\mathrm{S}g\circ g}{2n(n-1)} + \frac{\mathrm{E}\circ g}{n-2} = \mathrm{W} + U + V,\\ |\mathrm{R}|^2 &= |\mathrm{W}|^2 + |U|^2 + |V|^2,\\ |U|^2 &= \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\mathrm{S}^2,\\ |V|^2 &= \frac{1}{n-2}|\mathrm{E}|^2.\end{aligned}$$

The Weyl curvatutre is an algebraic curvature which is traceless so it follows that,

$$W_{1212} = \sum_{2<i<j} W_{ijij}.$$

More generally, if the tangent space is decomposed into orthogonal subspaces N_1, N_2 then,

$$\mathrm{W}_{N_1} \doteqdot \sum_{i<j,i,j\in N_1} W_{ijij} = \sum_{k<l,k,l\in N_2} W_{klkl} \doteqdot \mathrm{W}_{N_2}. \tag{2.7}$$

That is, the Weyl "generalized sectional curvature"s of complementing subspaces are equal and then well-defined.

In dimension four the decomposition becomes,

$$\mathrm{R} = \mathrm{W} + \frac{\mathrm{S}}{24} g \circ g + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{E} \circ g.$$

A special feature of dimension four is that the Hodge $*$ operator decomposes the space of two-forms orthogonally according to eigenvalues ± 1: $\wedge^2 = \wedge^2_+ \otimes \wedge^2_-$. Also, we observe that $\mathrm{W}(\Lambda^2_\pm) \in \Lambda^2_\pm$; so it is unambiguous to define $\mathrm{W}^\pm \doteqdot \mathrm{W}^{|\Lambda_\pm}$

Accordingly, the curvature as an operator on $\wedge^2$ is,

$$\mathrm{R} = \begin{pmatrix} A^+ & C \\ C^T & A^- \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.8}$$

Here C is essentially the traceless Ricci. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} A^\pm &= \mathrm{W}^\pm + \frac{S}{12}\mathrm{Id}^\pm, \\ |A^\pm|^2 &= |\mathrm{W}^\pm|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{S}^2}{48}, \\ |\mathrm{Rc}|^2 - \frac{\mathrm{S}^2}{4} &= |\mathrm{E}|^2 = 4|C|^2 = 4\mathrm{tr}(CC^T). \end{aligned}$$

3. **Gradient Versus Divergent**

This section investigates the connection between the gradient and divergence of the Weyl curvature. Let's recall the following operators, for $T \in \mathfrak{C}V$, $A \in \Lambda^2 \otimes T^*M$

$$B(T)_{ijkmn} = \nabla_i T_{jkmn} + \nabla_j T_{kimn} + \nabla_k T_{ijmn}; \tag{3.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (A \circ' g)_{ijkmn} &= g_{kn}A_{ijm} + g_{in}A_{jkm} + g_{jn}A_{kim} \\ &\quad + g_{km}A_{jin} + g_{im}A_{kjn} + g_{jm}A_{ikn}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Remark 3.1. *If b corresponds to the first Bianchi's identity, B does the second. Also,*

$$B(T), A \circ' g \in \Lambda^3 \otimes \Lambda^2.$$

Lemma 3.1. *We have, for V of dimension n at least four,*

$$|A \circ' g|^2 = (n-3)|A|^2$$

Proof. The statement follows from a tedious computation. Below are the key steps.

$$|A \circ' g|^2 = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i,j,k,m,n} (A \circ' g)^2_{ijkmn};$$

$$\sum_{i,j,k,m,n} (g_{kn} A_{ijm})^2 = d \sum_{i,j,m} A^2_{ijm} = 2n|A|^2;$$

$$\sum_{i,j,k,m,n} g_{kn} g_{in} A_{ijm} A_{jkm} = \sum_{j,m,n} A_{njm} A_{jnm} = -2|A|^2;$$

$$\sum_{i,j,k,m,n} g_{kn} g_{km} A_{ijm} A_{jin} = \sum_{i,j,k} A_{ijk} A_{jik} = -2|A|^2;$$

$$\sum_{i,j,k,m,n} g_{kn} g_{im} A_{ijm} A_{kjn} = \sum_{i,k,j} A_{iji} A_{kjk} = 0.$$

□

Also, for a Riemann curvature, $B(\mathrm{R}) = 0$. That implies algebraic relations when applying map B on components of the curvature. To see that, first we recall:

Definition 3.2. *The tensors $P, Q : \wedge^2 TM \otimes T^*M$ are defined as:*

$$P_{ijk} = \nabla_i \mathrm{Rc}_{jk} - \nabla_j \mathrm{Rc}_{ik}. \tag{3.3}$$

$$P(X \wedge Y, Z) = (d_\nabla \mathrm{Rc})(X, Y, Z) = \delta \mathrm{R}(Z, X, Y),$$

$$Q_{ijk} = g_{ki} \nabla_j \mathrm{S} - g_{kj} \nabla_i \mathrm{S}. \tag{3.4}$$

Remark 3.2. *Thus, harmonic Riemann curvature is equivalent to that* Rc *is a Codazzi tensor. It is also well-known that, for example see* [12]*,*

$$\delta \mathrm{W} = -\frac{n-3}{n-2}(P + \frac{1}{2(n-1)} Q).$$

Lemma 3.3. *We have the followings*

1. $B(Rc \circ g) = P \circ' g$.
2. $B(Sg \circ g) = -Q \circ' g$
3. $B(\mathrm{W}) = \frac{1}{n-3}(\delta \mathrm{W}) \circ' g$

Proof. Since we consider equality of tensors, it suffices to show it for a normal orthonormal frame.

(1) We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{Rc} \circ g)_{jkmn} &= \mathrm{Rc}_{jm} g_{kn} + \mathrm{Rc}_{kn} g_{jm} - \mathrm{Rc}_{jn} g_{km} - \mathrm{Rc}_{km} g_{jn};\\
\nabla_i (\mathrm{Rc} \circ g)_{jkmn} &= \nabla_i \mathrm{Rc}_{jm} g_{kn} + \nabla_i \mathrm{Rc}_{kn} g_{jm} - \nabla_i \mathrm{Rc}_{jn} g_{km} - \nabla_i \mathrm{Rc}_{km} g_{jn};\\
B(\mathrm{Rc} \circ g)_{ijkmn} &= \nabla_i \mathrm{Rc}_{jm} g_{kn} + \nabla_i \mathrm{Rc}_{kn} g_{jm} - \nabla_i \mathrm{Rc}_{jn} g_{km} - \nabla_i \mathrm{Rc}_{km} g_{jn};\\
&\quad + \nabla_j \mathrm{Rc}_{km} g_{in} + \nabla_j \mathrm{Rc}_{in} g_{km} - \nabla_j \mathrm{Rc}_{kn} g_{im} - \nabla_j \mathrm{Rc}_{im} g_{kn}\\
&\quad + \nabla_k \mathrm{Rc}_{im} g_{jn} + \nabla_k \mathrm{Rc}_{jn} g_{im} - \nabla_k \mathrm{Rc}_{in} g_{jm} - \nabla_k \mathrm{Rc}_{jm} g_{in}\\
&= g_{kn} P_{ijm} + g_{in} P_{jkm} + g_{jn} P_{kim}\\
&\quad + g_{km} P_{jin} + g_{im} P_{kjn} + g_{jm} P_{ikn}.
\end{aligned}$$

(2) We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{S}g\circ g)_{jkmn} &= \mathrm{S}2g_{jm}g_{kn} - 2\mathrm{S}g_{jn}g_{km};\\ \nabla_i(\mathrm{S}g\circ g)_{jkmn} &= \nabla_i \mathrm{S}2(g_{jm}g_{kn} - 2\mathrm{S}g_{jn}g_{km});\\ B(\mathrm{S}g\circ g)_{ijkmn} &= \nabla_i \mathrm{S}2(g_{jm}g_{kn} - g_{jn}g_{km});\\ &\quad + \nabla_j \mathrm{S}2(g_{km}g_{in} - g_{kn}g_{im})\\ &\quad + \nabla_k \mathrm{S}2(g_{im}g_{jn} - g_{in}g_{jm})\\ &= g_{kn}Q_{jim} + g_{in}Q_{kjm} + g_{jn}Q_{ikm}\\ &\quad + g_{km}P_{ijn} + g_{im}Q_{jkn} + g_{jm}Q_{kin}.\end{aligned}$$

(3) For the last statement, recall

$$\begin{aligned}B(\mathrm{R}) &= 0;\\ \mathrm{R} &= \mathrm{W} - \frac{Sg\circ g}{2(n-2)(n-1)} + \frac{\mathrm{Rc}\circ g}{n-2};\\ (\delta \mathrm{W}) &= -\frac{n-3}{n-2}(P + \frac{Q}{2(n-1)}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.3. *The key observation here is that harmonic Weyl curvature is equivalent to Weyl satisfying the second Bianchi's identity.*

Remark 3.4. *Using the decomposition above, we can show that*

$$\frac{1}{n-3}|\delta \mathrm{W}|^2 = |B(W)|^2 \leq 3|\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2.$$

The inequality above is, however, generally not sharp so we'll expose the connection between gradient and divergence by part integration as follows.

3.1. **Integral formula.** First, observe that by (2.5),

$$rc(\mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp)(.,.) = \sum_{p,q} \mathrm{W}(.,e_p,.,e_q)rc(\mathrm{W})(e_p,e_q) \equiv 0.$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \in \mathfrak{W}$.

Let $A \in S^2(TM)$ and $B = A\circ g \in \mathfrak{C}(TM)$. We have the following.

Lemma 3.4. *We have, for a basis diagonalizing both A and g at a point then,*

$$\left\langle B, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle = 0; \tag{3.5}$$

$$\left\langle \mathrm{W}^2, B \right\rangle = -\left\langle \mathrm{W}, B\sharp \mathrm{W} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j,p,q} A_{ii}\mathrm{W}^2_{ijpq}; \tag{3.6}$$

$$\left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{R}\sharp \mathrm{W} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{jplq}\mathrm{R}_{ipkq}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. By (2.2), we have,

$$\left\langle B, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle = \left\langle A, rc(\mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp) \right\rangle.$$

The first statement follows since $\mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \in \mathfrak{W}$.

Next, if we choose a basis diagonalizing both A and g then,

$$\begin{aligned} 8\left\langle B, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} B_{ijkl} \sum_{p,q} \mathrm{W}_{ijpq}\mathrm{W}_{pqkl} \\ &= 2\sum_{i,j,p,q} B_{ijij}\mathrm{W}_{ijpq}\mathrm{W}_{ijpq} = 2\sum_{i,j,p,q}(A_{ii}+A_{jj})\mathrm{W}_{ijpq}\mathrm{W}_{ijpq} \\ &= 4\sum A_{ii}\mathrm{W}_{ijpq}\mathrm{W}_{ijpq}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by re-indexing,

$$\begin{aligned} 8\left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{R}\sharp\mathrm{W} \right\rangle &= \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\Big[\mathrm{R}_{ipkq}\mathrm{W}_{jplq} + \mathrm{W}_{ipkq}\mathrm{R}_{jplq} \\ &\quad - \mathrm{R}_{iplq}\mathrm{W}_{jpkq} - \mathrm{R}_{jpkq}\mathrm{W}_{iplq}\Big] \\ &= 2\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}(\mathrm{R}_{ipkq}\mathrm{W}_{jplq} + \mathrm{W}_{ipkq}\mathrm{R}_{jplq}); \\ &= 4\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{jplq}\mathrm{R}_{ipkq}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3. The proof is inspired by some arguments of [11, Proposition 3.3].

Proof. We have,

$$\begin{aligned} 4\int_M |\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2 &= \int_M \sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}(B(W)_{mijkl} - \nabla_i \mathrm{W}_{jmkl} - \nabla_j \mathrm{W}_{mikl}), \\ \int_M \sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{ijkl} B(W)_{mijkl} &= \int_M \sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{ijkl} \frac{1}{n-3}(\delta \mathrm{W} \circ' g)_{mijkl} \\ &= \frac{1}{n-3}\int_M \sum_{i,j,k,l} \nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{ijkm}(\delta \mathrm{W})_{ijk} + \nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{ijml}(\delta \mathrm{W})_{jil} \\ &= \frac{4}{n-3}\int_M |\delta \mathrm{W}|^2; \\ -2\int_M \sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\nabla_i \mathrm{W}_{jmkl} &= -2\int_M \sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\nabla_j \mathrm{W}_{mikl}, \\ &= 2\int_M \sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\nabla_m\nabla_i \mathrm{W}_{jmkl}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1),

$$\nabla_m\nabla_i \mathrm{W}_{jmkl} - \nabla_i\nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{jmkl} = \sum_s \mathrm{R}_{mijs}\mathrm{W}_{smkl} + \mathrm{R}_{mims}\mathrm{W}_{jskl} + \mathrm{R}_{miks}\mathrm{W}_{jmsl} + \mathrm{R}_{mils}\mathrm{W}_{jmks};$$

$$2\int_M \sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\nabla_i\nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{jmkl} = -\int_M \sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \nabla_i \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{jmkl} = 4\int_M (\delta \mathrm{W})^2.$$

For the zero order terms we compute, using the Bianchi's first identity and re-indexing,

$$\begin{aligned}
2\sum_{m,i,j,k,l,s} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{R}_{mijs}\mathrm{W}_{smkl} &= \sum_{m,i,j,k,l,s} \mathrm{R}_{mijs}\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{smkl} + \sum_{m,i,j,k,l,s} \mathrm{R}_{mijs}\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{smkl} \\
&= \sum_{m,i,j,k,l,s} \mathrm{R}_{mijs}\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{smkl} + \sum_{m,i,j,k,l,s} \mathrm{R}_{mjis}\mathrm{W}_{jikl}\mathrm{W}_{smkl} \\
&= \sum_{m,i,j,k,l,s} \mathrm{R}_{mijs}\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{smkl} + \sum_{m,i,j,k,l,s} \mathrm{R}_{jmis}\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{smkl} \\
&= \sum_{m,i,j,k,l,s} (\mathrm{R}_{mijs} + \mathrm{R}_{jmis})\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{smkl} \\
&= -\sum_{m,i,j,k,l,s} \mathrm{R}_{ijms}\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{smkl} \\
&= 8\left\langle \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{W}^2\right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, if we choose a basis diagonalizing both Rc and g then, by Lemma 3.4, for $T = Rc \circ g$,

$$\begin{aligned}
2\sum_{m,i,j,k,l,s} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{R}_{mims}\mathrm{W}_{jskl} &= 2\sum_{i,j,k,l} \mathrm{R}_{ii}\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{jikl} \\
&= -4\left\langle T, \mathrm{W}^2\right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, also by Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
2\sum_{m,i,j,k,l.s} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}(\mathrm{R}_{miks}\mathrm{W}_{jmsl} + \mathrm{R}_{mils}\mathrm{W}_{jmks}) &= 4\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{R}_{miks}\mathrm{W}_{jmsl} \\
&= 8\left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{R}\sharp\mathrm{W}\right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing equations above yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
4\int_M |\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2 = \frac{4(n-2)}{n-3}\int_M (\delta \mathrm{W})^2 \\
+ 8\int_M \left\langle \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{W}^2\right\rangle - 4\int_M \left\langle T, \mathrm{W}^2\right\rangle + 8\int_M \left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{R}\sharp\mathrm{W}\right\rangle
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Recalling that

$$\mathrm{R} = \mathrm{W} + \frac{\mathrm{S}g \circ g}{2n(n-1)} + \frac{\mathrm{E} \circ g}{n-2} = \mathrm{W} + B.$$

Since the curvature products are distributive, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_M \left\langle \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle + \int_M \langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{R}\sharp\mathrm{W} \rangle \\
&= \int_M \left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle + \int_M \left\langle B, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle + \int_M \langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}\sharp\mathrm{W} \rangle + \int_M \langle B, \mathrm{W}\sharp \rangle \\
&= \int_M \left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle . \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality is due to Lemma 3.4 again. Finally, the theorem follows from (3.8) and (3.9). □

Inspired by Tachibana [37], the theorem could be restated as follows.

Theorem 3.5. *For a closed manifold (M^n, g), $n \geq 4$, we have:*

$$\int_M |\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2 - \frac{(n-2)}{n-3} \int_M (\delta \mathrm{W})^2 = -2 \int_M \left\langle \mathrm{W}^\flat, \delta(\nabla \mathrm{W}) - \nabla(\delta \mathrm{W}) \right\rangle .$$

Here, $\mathrm{W}^\flat_{iklj} = \mathrm{W}_{jikl}$.

Proof. The zero order terms in the proof above are essentially, for a normal coordinate,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j,k,l,m} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}(\nabla_m \nabla_i \mathrm{W}_{jmkl} - \nabla_i \nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{jmkl}) &= \sum_{i,j,k,l} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}[(\delta(\nabla \mathrm{W}))_{iklj} - (\nabla(\delta \mathrm{W}))_{iklj}], \\
&= -4 \left\langle \mathrm{W}^\flat, \delta(\nabla \mathrm{W}) - \nabla(\delta \mathrm{W}) \right\rangle .
\end{aligned}$$

So the result follows. □

3.2. **Harmonic Weyl.** In this section, using the method similar to above, we obtain identities for a manifold with harmonic Weyl curvature. First, we show the following identity for the Ricci tensor.

Proposition 3.6. *For a closed manifold (M^n, g) with harmonic Weyl tensor, $n \geq 4$,*

$$\int_M |\nabla \mathrm{Rc}|^2 - \frac{n}{4(n-1)} \int_M (\nabla \mathrm{S})^2 = \int_M \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{E}) - \frac{n}{n-2}\mathrm{E}^3 - \frac{1}{n-1}\mathrm{S}|\mathrm{E}|^2. \qquad (3.10)$$

Here, for an orthonormal frame, $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{E}) = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{E}_{ik}\mathrm{E}_{jl}$, $\mathrm{E}^3 = \sum_{i,j,k} \mathrm{E}_{ij}\mathrm{E}_{jk}\mathrm{E}_{ki}$.

Proof. First, we recall, by the second Bianchi's identity,

$$\delta \mathrm{Rc} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \mathrm{S}.$$

Also, since $\delta \mathrm{W} = 0$,

$$-P = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} Q.$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M |\nabla \mathrm{Rc}|^2 &= \int_M \sum_{i,j,k} \nabla_i \mathrm{R}_{jk}(P_{ijk} + \nabla_j \mathrm{R}_{ik}),\\ \int_M \sum_{i,j,k} \nabla_i \mathrm{R}_{jk} P_{ijk} &= -\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \int_M \sum_{i,j,k} \nabla_i \mathrm{R}_{jk} Q_{ijk}\\ &= -\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \int_M \sum_{i,j,k} \nabla_i \mathrm{R}_{jk}(g_{ki}\nabla_j \mathrm{S} - g_{kj}\nabla_i \mathrm{S})\\ &= \frac{1}{4(n-1)} \int_M |\nabla \mathrm{S}|^2;\\ \int_M \sum_{i,j,k} \nabla_i \mathrm{R}_{jk} \nabla_j \mathrm{R}_{ik} &= -\int_M \sum_{i,j,k} \mathrm{R}_{jk} \nabla_i \nabla_j \mathrm{R}_{ik}.\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_i \nabla_j \mathrm{R}_{ik} - \nabla_j \nabla_i \mathrm{R}_{ik} &= \sum_s \mathrm{R}_{ijis}\mathrm{R}_{sk} + \mathrm{R}_{ijks}\mathrm{R}_{is};\\ -\int_M \sum_{i,j,k} \mathrm{R}_{jk} \nabla_j \nabla_i \mathrm{R}_{ik} &= \int_M \sum_{i,j,k} \nabla_j \mathrm{R}_{jk} \nabla_i \mathrm{R}_{ik} = \frac{1}{4}\int_M (\nabla \mathrm{S})^2.\end{aligned}$$

For the zero order terms we compute, using the Bianchi's first identity and re-indexing,

$$\begin{aligned}-\sum_{i,j,k,s} \mathrm{R}_{jk}\mathrm{R}_{ijis}\mathrm{R}_{sk} &= -\sum_{s,j,k} \mathrm{R}_{jk}\mathrm{R}_{js}\mathrm{R}_{sk};\\ -\sum_{i,j,k,s} \mathrm{R}_{jk}\mathrm{R}_{ijks}\mathrm{R}_{is} &= \sum_{i,j,k,s} \mathrm{R}_{ijks}\mathrm{R}_{ik}\mathrm{R}_{js}.\end{aligned}$$

By a tedious computation,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Rc}^3 \doteqdot \sum_{s,j,k} \mathrm{R}_{jk}\mathrm{R}_{js}\mathrm{R}_{sk} &= \mathrm{E}^3 + \frac{3}{n}\mathrm{S}|\mathrm{Rc}|^2 - \frac{2\mathrm{S}^3}{n^2}\\ &= \mathrm{E}^3 + \frac{3}{n}\mathrm{S}|\mathrm{E}|^2 + \frac{\mathrm{S}^3}{n^2};\\ \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{Rc},\mathrm{Rc}) \doteqdot \sum_{i,j,k,s} \mathrm{R}_{ijks}\mathrm{R}_{ik}\mathrm{R}_{js} &= \mathrm{W}(\mathrm{Rc},\mathrm{Rc}) - \frac{2}{n-2}\mathrm{E}^3 + \frac{\mathrm{S}^3}{n^2} + \frac{2n-3}{n(n-1)}\mathrm{S}|\mathrm{E}|^2.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.5. *Huisken* [21, Lemma 4.3] *shows that for any Riemannian manifold,* $|\nabla \mathrm{Rc}|^2 \geq \frac{3n-2}{2(n-1)(n+2)}|\nabla \mathrm{S}|^2$.

Furthermore, we have a point-wise identity for Weyl tensor. This is a generalization of one in dimension four, see [4, 16.73].

Theorem 3.7. *For a closed manifold (M^n, g), $n \geq 4$, with harmonic Weyl curvature, we have:*

$$\Delta|\mathrm{W}|^2 = 2|\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2 - 4\left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle + 2\left\langle \mathrm{Rc} \circ g, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. We have, by Lemma 3.3 and harmonic Weyl curvature, $B(\mathrm{W}) \equiv 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Delta|\mathrm{W}|^2 &= 4|\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2 + \sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \mathrm{W}_{abcd}\nabla_m\nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}; \\ \sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\nabla_m\nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{ijkl} &= \sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\nabla_m(-\nabla_i \mathrm{W}_{jmkl} - \nabla_j \mathrm{W}_{mikl}), \\ &= -2\sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\nabla_m\nabla_i \mathrm{W}_{jmkl}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.1),

$$\nabla_m\nabla_i \mathrm{W}_{jmkl} - \nabla_i\nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{jmkl} = \sum_s \mathrm{R}_{mijs}\mathrm{W}_{smkl} + \mathrm{R}_{mims}\mathrm{W}_{jskl} + \mathrm{R}_{miks}\mathrm{W}_{jmsl} + \mathrm{R}_{mils}\mathrm{W}_{jmks};$$

$$2\sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\nabla_i\nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{jmkl} = \sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\nabla_i(\delta \mathrm{W})_{klj} \equiv 0.$$

For the zero order terms we compute as in the proof of Theorem 1.3,

$$\begin{aligned} -2\sum_{m,i,j,k,l,s} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{R}_{mijs}\mathrm{W}_{smkl} &== -8\left\langle \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle; \\ -2\sum_{m,i,j,k,l,s} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{R}_{mims}\mathrm{W}_{jskl} &= 4\left\langle T, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle; \\ -2\sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}(\mathrm{R}_{miks}\mathrm{W}_{jmsl} + \mathrm{R}_{mils}\mathrm{W}_{jmks}) &= 8\left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{R}\sharp\mathrm{W} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Summing equations above yields,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m,i,j,k,l} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\nabla_m\nabla_m \mathrm{W}_{ijkl} &= -8\int_M \left\langle \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle + 4\int_M \left\langle T, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle - 8\int_M \left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{R}\sharp\mathrm{W} \right\rangle \\ &= -8\left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle + 4\int_M \left\langle T, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

The result then follows.

□

4. **Rigidity Theorems**

In this section, we derive applications of new Bochner-Weitzenböck-Lichnerowicz type formulas. Precisely, results include point-wise and integral rigidity theorems.

First, we'll investigate the quantity, $\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \rangle$. Recall that, by re-indexing,

$$\begin{aligned} 8\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^\sharp \rangle &= 2 \sum_{i,j,k,l,p,q} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}(\mathrm{W}_{ipkq}\mathrm{W}_{jplq} - \mathrm{W}_{iplq}\mathrm{W}_{jpkq}), \\ &= 4 \sum_{i,j,k,l,p,q} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{ipkq}\mathrm{W}_{jplq}; \\ 8\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 \rangle &= \sum_{i,j,k,l,p,q} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{ijpq}\mathrm{W}_{klpq}. \end{aligned}$$

When $4 \leq n \leq 5$, due to [23, A1], (beware there is a typo in (A1))

$$\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^\sharp \rangle = 2\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 \rangle \doteq 2\mathrm{W}^3. \tag{4.1}$$

Thus,

$$\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \rangle = 3\mathrm{W}^3. \tag{4.2}$$

Remark 4.1. *Subsection 5.2 gives a computation for pure curvature.*

For a general dimension, we follow the argument in [21, 37] and define, for fixed m, n, p, q, a local skew symmetric tensor,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{ij}^{(mnpq)} &= \mathrm{W}_{inpq}g_{jm} + \mathrm{W}_{mipq}g_{jn} + \mathrm{W}_{mniq}g_{jp} + \mathrm{W}_{mnpi}g_{jq} \\ &\quad - \mathrm{W}_{jnpq}g_{im} - \mathrm{W}_{mjpq}g_{in} - \mathrm{W}_{mnjq}g_{ip} - \mathrm{W}_{mnpj}g_{iq}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that,

$$8|u|^2 = \sum_{m,n,p,q} \left\langle u_{ij}^{(mnpq)}, u_{ij}^{(mnpq)} \right\rangle = 32(n-1)|\mathrm{W}|^2,$$

$$8\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \rangle = \frac{1}{8}\left\langle W_{ijkl}u_{ij}^{(mnpq)}, u_{kl}^{(mnpq)} \right\rangle.$$

If ω be the largest magnitude of any eigenvalue of W, then by Berger's estimate [2],

$$\mathrm{W}_{ijkl} \leq \frac{4}{3}\omega.$$

It follows that,

$$\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \rangle = \frac{1}{64}\left\langle W_{ijkl}u_{ij}^{(mnpq)}, u_{kl}^{(mnpq)} \right\rangle \leq \frac{4}{3}\frac{1}{64}\omega 8|u|^2 = \frac{2}{3}(n-1)|\mathrm{W}|^2\omega. \tag{4.3}$$

Thus, the computation above proves the following result.

Lemma 4.1. *Let ω be the largest magnitude of an eigenvalue of* W *then, for $n \geq 5$,*

$$\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \rangle \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{3}\omega|\mathrm{W}|^2. \tag{4.4}$$

Remark 4.2. *For $n = 5$, the result holds with the same constant for largest eigenvalue of* W *due to (4.2) and Lemma 5.1.*

We also have an estimate just in terms of norm. First we have the following result, for a proof see [21, Lemma 2.4].

Lemma 4.2. *Let T be a symmetric trace-free operator on an m-dimensional vector space V. If λ is an eigenvalue then,*

$$\lambda^2 \leq \frac{m-1}{m}|T|^2.$$

Then the following holds.

Lemma 4.3. *For $n \geq 5$,*

$$\left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle \leq c(n)|\mathrm{W}|^3. \tag{4.5}$$

Here, we find $c(5) = \frac{8}{\sqrt{10}}$ and $c(n) = 5$ for $n \geq 6$.

Proof. For any dimension, by Cauchy-Schwartz,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{8}\Big(\sum_{i,j,k,l,p,q} 4\mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{ipkq}\mathrm{W}_{jplq} + \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{ijpq}\mathrm{W}_{klpq}\Big),\\ &\leq 5|\mathrm{W}|^3.\end{aligned}$$

In dimension five, W is an operator on a 10-dimensional vector space. By Lemma 5.1 and 4.2,

$$\left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle = 3\mathrm{W}^3 \leq 3\sqrt{\frac{9}{10}}\frac{8}{9}|\mathrm{W}|^3 = \frac{8}{\sqrt{10}}|\mathrm{W}|^3.$$

□

Remark 4.3. *For $n > 5$, $c(n)$ might be improved slightly, see* [10, Lemma 2.3].

4.1. **Point-wise.** In this subsection, we'll show results under point-wise assumptions. First, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof. By the work of Tachibana [37] (see also [12, Lemma 7.33]), non-negative curvature operator implies that,

$$\left\langle \mathrm{W}^\flat, \delta(\nabla \mathrm{W}) - \nabla(\delta \mathrm{W}) \right\rangle \geq 0.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.5 and harmonicity of Weyl, $\nabla \mathrm{W} \equiv 0$. Since a Riemannian metric is always positive definite, due to Derdzinski and Roter [13, Theorem 2], the metric must be either locally conformally flat or locally symmetric. □

The next result is concerned with bounding eigenvalues by the scalar curvature.

Proposition 4.4. *Let (M^n, g), $n \geq 5$, be a closed Riemannian manifold. Let ω be the largest magnitude of an eigenvalue of* W *and $-\ell$ the smallest eigenvalue of* E. *Suppose at each point,*

$$\frac{2(n-1)}{3}\omega + \ell \leq \frac{\mathrm{S}}{n},$$

then the following holds,

$$\int_M |\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2 \leq \frac{(n-2)}{n-3}\int_M (\delta \mathrm{W})^2.$$

In dimension five, the same result holds if ω is the largest eigenvalue of W.

Proof. By Theorem 1.3,

$$\int_M |\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2 - \frac{(n-2)}{n-3}\int_M (\delta \mathrm{W})^2 = 2\int_M \left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle - \int_M \left\langle \mathrm{Rc}\circ g, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle,$$

$$\int_M \left\langle \mathrm{W}^2, \mathrm{Rc}\circ g \right\rangle = \left\langle (\mathrm{E} + \frac{\mathrm{S}}{n} g)\circ g, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle,$$

$$= \left\langle \mathrm{E}\circ g, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle + \frac{2\mathrm{S}}{n}|\mathrm{W}|^2.$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$\left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle \leq \frac{2(n-1)}{3}\omega |\mathrm{W}|^2.$$

By standard inequality, an eigenvalue of $E \circ g$ must be greater than or equal -2ℓ. Thus,

$$\left\langle \mathrm{E}\circ g, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle \geq -2\ell |\mathrm{W}|^2.$$

Therefore, if

$$\frac{4(n-1)}{3}\omega + 2\ell \leq \frac{2\mathrm{S}}{n},$$

then,

$$\int_M |\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2 - \frac{(n-2)}{n-3}\int_M (\delta \mathrm{W})^2 \leq 0.$$

In dimension five, we could use Remark (4.2) instead of Lemma 4.1. □

Restricted to the case of harmonic Weyl, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.5. *Let (M^n, g), $n \geq 5$, be a closed Riemannian manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor. Let ω be the largest magnitude of an eigenvalue of W and $-\ell$ the smallest eigenvalue of E. Suppose at each point,*

$$\frac{2(n-1)}{3}\omega + \ell \leq \frac{\mathrm{S}}{n},$$

then (M, g) must be either conformally flat or locally symmetric. In dimension five, the same result holds if ω is the largest eigenvalue of W.

Proof. For harmonic Weyl tensor, $\delta \mathrm{W} \equiv 0$. Then Prop. 4.4 implies that $\nabla \mathrm{W} \equiv 0$. Thus, (M, g) has parallel Weyl curvature. Since a Riemannian metric is always positive definite, the work of Derdzinski and Roter [13, Theorem 2] says that the metric must be either locally conformally flat or locally symmetric. □

The next theorem yields a similar conclusion under an assumption on the norm.

Theorem 4.6. *Let (M^n, g), $n \geq 5$, be a closed Riemannian manifold. Suppose at each point,*

$$c(n)|\mathrm{W}| + \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}|\mathrm{E}| \leq \frac{\mathrm{S}}{n},$$

then then the following holds,

$$\int_M |\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2 \leq \frac{(n-2)}{n-3}\int_M (\delta \mathrm{W})^2.$$

Here $c(n)$ is the same as in Lemma 4.3.

Proof. The proof is similar to one above. The only difference is to obtain following inequalities in terms of norm.

$$\begin{aligned}\big\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \big\rangle &\leq c(n)|\mathrm{W}|^3;\\ -\big\langle \mathrm{E}\circ g, \mathrm{W}^2\big\rangle &\leq 2\ell|\mathrm{W}|^2 \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}|\mathrm{E}||\mathrm{W}|^2.\end{aligned}$$

The first line is just Lemma 4.3 while the second line is justified by Lemma 4.2. □

4.2. **Integral.** This subsection will focus on integral gap results using the solution to the Yamabe problem.

Yamabe problem: Given a compact Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $n \geq 3$, find a conformal metric with constant scalar curvature.

For a change of metric, the volume form and scalar curvature transform as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{g} &= u^{4/(n-2)}g,\\ d\overline{\mu} &= u^{2n/(n-2)}d\mu,\\ \overline{\mathrm{S}} &= u^{-(n+2)/(n-2)}\Big(-\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\Delta + \mathrm{S}\Big)u = u^{-(n+2)/(n-2)}L_g u,\\ L_g &\doteqdot -\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\Delta + \mathrm{S}.\end{aligned}$$

Yamabe [41] observed that the problem is equivalent to find the minimizer of the functional,

$$Q[\overline{g}] = \frac{\int_M \overline{\mathrm{S}}d\overline{\mu}}{(\int_M d\overline{\mu})^{(n-2)/n}} = Y[u] = \frac{\int_M uLud\mu}{(\int_M u^{2n/(n-2)}d\mu)^{(n-2)/n}}.$$

The Yamabe invariant is defined accordingly,

$$\lambda(g) = \inf\{Y[u] :\ u > 0,\ u \in C^2(M)\}.$$

The problem has an extensive history and was finally settled by R. Schoen using the positive mass theorem[34], see [41, 40, 1] for partial results and [25] for an expository account. When the Yamabe invariant is positive, a consequence of the solution is a conformal Sobolev inequality, for $s_n = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)}$,

$$s_n\lambda(g)\Big(\int_M |u|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}d\mu_g\Big)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \leq \int_M |\nabla_g u|^2 d\mu_g + s_n\int_M \mathrm{S}|u|^2 d\mu_g. \tag{4.6}$$

That inequality will play an crucial role in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 4.7. *Let (M^n, g), $n \geq 5$, be a closed Riemannian manifold with positive Yamabe invariant $\lambda(g)$. Assume that,*

$$c_1(n)||\mathrm{W}||_{L^{n/2}} + c_2(n)||\mathrm{E}||_{L^{n/2}} \leq d(n)\lambda(M).$$

Then the following holds,

$$(1-\frac{d(n)}{s_n})\int_M|\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2\leq\frac{(n-2)}{n-3}\int_M(\delta \mathrm{W})^2+(d(n)-\frac{2}{n})\int_M \mathrm{S}|\mathrm{W}|^2.$$

Here for $c(n)$ the same as in Lemma 4.3,

$$c_1(n)=2c(n),\qquad\qquad c_2(n)=2\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}.$$

Proof. From Theorem 1.3,

$$\int_M|\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2-\frac{(n-2)}{n-3}\int_M(\delta \mathrm{W})^2=2\int_M\left\langle \mathrm{W},\mathrm{W}^2+\mathrm{W}^\sharp\right\rangle-\int_M\left\langle \mathrm{Rc}\circ g,\mathrm{W}^2\right\rangle.$$

By Lemma 4.3,

$$2\int_M\left\langle \mathrm{W},\mathrm{W}^2+\mathrm{W}^\sharp\right\rangle\leq\int_M 2c(n)|\mathrm{W}|^3=c_1(n)|\mathrm{W}|^3.$$

$$\int_M\left\langle \mathrm{W}^2,E\circ g\right\rangle\leq\int_M 2\ell|\mathrm{W}|^2\leq\int_M 2\sqrt{\frac{n-1}{n}}|\mathrm{E}||\mathrm{W}|^2=c_2(n)|\mathrm{E}||\mathrm{W}|^2.$$

Applying the Hölder's inequality and the conformally invariant Sobolev's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M|\mathrm{W}|^3=||\mathrm{W}||^3_{L^3}&\leq||\mathrm{W}||_{L^{n/2}}||\mathrm{W}||^2_{L^{2n/(n-2)}}\\&\leq\frac{||\mathrm{W}||_{L^{n/2}}}{\lambda(g)}(\frac{1}{s_n}\int_M|\nabla|\mathrm{W}||^2+\int_M \mathrm{S}|\mathrm{W}|^2);\\\int_M|\mathrm{E}||\mathrm{W}|^2&\leq||\mathrm{E}|_{L^{n/2}}||\mathrm{W}||^2_{L^{2n/(n-2)}}\\&\leq\frac{||\mathrm{E}||_{L^{n/2}}}{\lambda(g)}(\frac{1}{s_n}\int_M|\nabla|\mathrm{W}||^2+\int_M \mathrm{S}|\mathrm{W}|^2).\end{aligned}$$

Also we recall the classical Kato inequality,

$$|\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2\geq|\nabla|\mathrm{W}||^2.$$

Combining inequalities above yield,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M|\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2+\frac{2\mathrm{S}}{n}|\mathrm{W}|^2&\leq c_1(n)\int_M|\mathrm{W}|^3+c_2(n)\int_M|\mathrm{E}||\mathrm{W}|^2,\\&\leq\frac{c_1(n)||\mathrm{W}||_{L^{n/2}}+c_2(n)||\mathrm{E}||_{L^{n/2}}}{\lambda(g)}\Big(\frac{1}{s_n}|\nabla|\mathrm{W}||^2+\int_M \mathrm{S}|\mathrm{W}|^2\Big),\\&\leq d(n)(\frac{1}{s_n}|\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2+\int_M \mathrm{S}|\mathrm{W}|^2).\end{aligned}$$

□

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is a refinement of the above method.

Proof. For harmonic Weyl tensor, $\delta \mathrm{W} \equiv 0$. Also we recall the improved Kato inequality for harmonic Weyl tensor [6],

$$|\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2 \geq \frac{n+1}{n-1}|\nabla |\mathrm{W}||^2.$$

By Theorem 3.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta|\mathrm{W}|^2 &= 2|\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2 - 4\left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle + 2\left\langle \mathrm{Rc} \circ g, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle; \\
|\mathrm{W}|\Delta|\mathrm{W}| &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta|\mathrm{W}|^2 - |\nabla|\mathrm{W}||^2 \\
&\geq \frac{2}{n-1}|\nabla|\mathrm{W}||^2 - 2\left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathrm{Rc} \circ g, \mathrm{W}^2 \right\rangle, \\
&\geq \frac{2}{n-1}|\nabla|\mathrm{W}||^2 + \frac{2\mathrm{S}}{n}|\mathrm{W}|^2 - c_1(n)|\mathrm{W}|^3 - c_2(n)|\mathrm{E}||\mathrm{W}|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $c_1(n), c_2(n)$ are as in Prop. 4.7. Now we suppose $|\mathrm{W}| > 0$ everywhere and let $u = |\mathrm{W}|$ (if W assumes value zero at some point, we could replace $u = |\mathrm{W}| + \epsilon$, $\epsilon > 0$ and use a standard limit argument for $\epsilon \to 0$).

$$\begin{aligned}
u^\alpha \Delta u^\alpha &= \frac{\alpha - 1}{\alpha}|\nabla u^\alpha|^2 + \alpha u^{2\alpha - 2} u \Delta u, \\
&\geq (1 - \frac{n-3}{(n-1)\alpha})|\nabla u^\alpha|^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{n}\mathrm{S}u^{2\alpha} - \alpha c_1(n) u^{2\alpha+1} - c_2(n)\alpha|\mathrm{E}|u^{2\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

Integration by parts and applying Hölder's inequality yield,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq (2 - \frac{n-3}{(n-1)\alpha})\int_M |\nabla u^\alpha|^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{n}\int_M \mathrm{S}u^{2\alpha} - \alpha c_1(n)\int_M u^{2\alpha+1} - \alpha c_2(n)\int_M |\mathrm{E}|u^{2\alpha}, \\
&\geq (2 - \frac{n-3}{(n-1)\alpha})||\nabla u^\alpha||_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{n}||\mathrm{S}u^{2\alpha}||_{L^1} \\
&- \alpha c_1(n)||\mathrm{W}||_{L^{n/2}}||u^\alpha||_{L^{2n/(n-2)}}^2 - \alpha c_2(n)||\mathrm{E}||_{L^{n/2}}||u^\alpha||_{L^{2n/(n-2)}}^2
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Sobolev inequality (4.6), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
&A(n,\alpha) \doteqdot (2 - \frac{n-3}{(n-1)\alpha}); \\
&\alpha c_1(n)||\mathrm{W}||_{L^{n/2}}||u^\alpha||_{L^{2n/(n-2)}}^2 + \alpha c_2(n)||\mathrm{E}||_{L^{n/2}}||u^\alpha||_{L^{2n/(n-2)}}^2 \\
&\geq A(n,\alpha)\int_M |\nabla u^\alpha|^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{n}\int_M \mathrm{S}u^{2\alpha} \\
&\geq s_n \lambda(g) A(n,\alpha)||u^\alpha||_{L^{2n/(n-2)}}^2 + (\frac{2\alpha}{n} - A(n,\alpha)s_n)\int_M \mathrm{S}u^{2\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

We consider,

$$\frac{2\alpha}{n} - A(n,\alpha)s_n = \frac{8(n-1)^2\alpha^2 - 2n(n-1)(n-2)\alpha + n(n-2)(n-3)}{4n(n-1)^2\alpha}.$$

It follows that if $n \neq 5$, we could choose $\frac{n-3}{2(n-1)} < \alpha$ such that $\frac{2\alpha}{n} - A(n,\alpha)s_n = 0$. In that case, if the assumption in the theorem does not hold, then $\mathrm{W} \equiv 0$. □

It is noted from the proof that if $n = 5$ then the quadratic above is always positive. So it remains to choose $\frac{1}{4} < \alpha$ to minimize $\frac{2\alpha^2}{4\alpha-1}$. It follows that we could choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and use Lemma 4.3 to obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.8. *Let (M^5, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold with harmonic Weyl tensor and positive scalar curvature and Yamabe invariant $\lambda(g)$. Then,*

$$\frac{8}{\sqrt{10}}||\mathrm{W}||_{L^{n/2}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}||\mathrm{E}||_{L^{n/2}} \geq \frac{3}{16}\lambda(g),$$

unless (M, g) is locally conformally flat.

5. Appendix

First, we'll show the following general estimate.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$ and $x_i \leq s$ then,*

$$x^3 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^3 \leq \frac{s(n-2)}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = \frac{s(n-2)}{n-1}x^2. \tag{5.1}$$

Proof. When $x^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = 0$, the statement follows vacuously.

When $x^2 > 0$, the problem reduces to maximize $f(x) = \frac{x^3}{x^2}$ given constraints

- $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0$;
- $x_i \leq s > 0$.

By compactness of the constraints, a maximum exists. Also we observe that the maximum must be at least

$$\frac{s^3 - (n-1)(\frac{s}{n-1})^3}{s^2 + (n-1)(\frac{s}{n-1})^2} = \frac{s(n-2)}{n-1}. \tag{5.2}$$

By Karush-Kuhn-Tucker extension of the Lagrange multiplier method, a maximum of $f(x)$ is obtained at x such that:

- $\nabla f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \nabla(x_i - s) + \lambda\nabla(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i)$.
- $\mu_i \geq 0$ and $\mu_i = 0$ if $x_i < s$.

The first condition implies that, for any i,

$$3x_i^2x^2 - 2x_ix^3 = x^4(\mu_i + \lambda).$$

By the second condition, if $x_i < s$, x_i can only assume one of two values, the roots $y_1 < y_2$ of the quadratic on y: $3x^2y^2 - 2x^3y_i - \lambda x^4 = 0$. Therefore, there are two cases.

Case 1: There are m y_1's, p y_2's, q s and $y_2 < s$. Then we have,

$$my_1 + py_2 + qs = 0;$$

$$f(x) = \frac{x^3}{x^2} = \frac{py_2^3 + qs^3 + my_1^3}{py_2^2 + qs^2 + my_1^2}$$

$$= \frac{py_2^3 + qs^3 - y_1^2(py_2 + qs)}{py_2^2 + qs^2 - y_1(py_2 + qs)} = \frac{py_2(y_2^2 - y_1^2) + qs(s^2 - y_1^2)}{py_2(y_2 - y_1) + qs(s - y_1)}.$$

We observe that,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{py_2(y_2^2-y_1^2)+qs(s^2-y_1^2)}{py_2(y_2-y_1)+qs(s-y_1)} &\leq \frac{ps(s^2-y_1^2)+qs(s^2-y_1^2)}{ps(s-y_1)+qs(s-y_1)}\\ \leftrightarrow p^2sy_2(s-y_1)(y_2^2-y_1^2)+qspy_2(y_2^2-y_1^2)(s-y_1) &\leq p^2sy_2(s^2-y_1^2)(y_2-y_1)+qspy_2(s^2-y_1^2)(y_2-y_1)\\ \leftrightarrow qspy_2(y_2-y_1)(s-y_1)(y_2-s) &\leq p^2sy_2(y_2-y_1)(s-y_1)(s-y_2).\end{aligned}$$

So $f(x)\leq s+y_1$. As $p,q>0$, $-y_1>\frac{s}{n-1}$ and so $f(x)<\frac{s(n-2)}{n-1}$, a contradiction to (5.2). So this case is ruled out.

Case 2: There are m y_1's, q s. Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned}&my_1+qs=0;\\ f(x)=\frac{x^3}{x^2}&=\frac{qs^3+my_1^3}{qs^2+my_1^2}\\ &=\frac{qs^3-y_1^2qs}{qs^2-y_1qs}=s+y_1=s(1-\frac{q}{m})\leq s(1-\frac{1}{n-1})=\frac{s(n-2)}{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

That concludes the proof. □

5.1. **Four-manifolds.** In this section, we explain how computation simplifies greatly in dimension four.

When $n=4$, $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{W}^+ + \mathrm{W}^-$. First, we observe the following.

Lemma 5.2. *In dimension four,*

$$\left\langle \mathrm{E}\circ g, \mathrm{W}^2\right\rangle = 0.$$

The statement also holds when replacing W *by* $\mathrm{W}^{\pm}$.

Proof. It is well-known that, for example see [9, Lemma 2.1]

$$\sum_{k,p,q}\mathrm{W}_{ikpq}\mathrm{W}_j{}^{kpq}=|\mathrm{W}|^2g_{ij}.$$

Therefore, for an orthonormal frame diagonalizing both g and E,

$$\left\langle \mathrm{E}\circ g, \mathrm{W}^2\right\rangle = \sum_{i,j,p,q}\mathrm{E}_{ii}\mathrm{W}^2_{ijpq}=|\mathrm{W}|^2\sum_i \mathrm{E}_{ii}=0.$$

□

As a consequence, our BWL type formula (1.1) becomes,

$$\int_M |\nabla \mathrm{W}|^2 - 2\int_M (\delta \mathrm{W})^2 = \int_M \left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2+\mathrm{W}^\sharp\right\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\int_M \mathrm{S}|\mathrm{W}|^2. \tag{5.3}$$

Remark 5.1. *Indeed, the same identity holds when replacing* W *by* $\mathrm{W}^{\pm}$.

We observe that $\mathrm{W}^{\pm}$ is each a self-adjoint operator on a dimension three vector space. As $\mathrm{W}^{\pm}$ is traceless and satisfies the first Bianchi identity, there is a normal form by M. Berger [3, 35]. That is, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^4$ of T_pM, consequently $\{e_{12}, e_{13}, e_{14}, e_{34}, e_{42}, e_{23}\}$ being a basis of Λ_2, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{W} &= \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}; \\ A &= \mathrm{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_3), \\ B &= \mathrm{diag}(b_1, b_2, b_3), \\ 0 &= a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = b_1 + b_2 + b_3. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Then, the self-dual and anti-self-dual $\mathrm{W}^{\pm}$ could be computed,

$$\mathrm{W}^{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{A \pm B}{2} & \frac{B \pm A}{2} \\ \frac{B \pm A}{2} & \frac{A \pm B}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using this set-up, it is immediate to check that,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathrm{W}^+, (\mathrm{W}^+)^\sharp \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,k,l,p,q} \mathrm{W}^+_{ijkl} \mathrm{W}^+_{ipkq} \mathrm{W}^+_{jplq} = 6\mathrm{det}(\mathrm{W}^+); \\ \left\langle \mathrm{W}^+, (\mathrm{W}^+)^2 \right\rangle &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i,j,k,l,p,q} \mathrm{W}^+_{ijkl} \mathrm{W}^+_{ijpq} \mathrm{W}^+_{klpq} = 3\mathrm{det}(\mathrm{W}^+). \end{aligned}$$

So another way to write (5.3) is,

$$\int_M |\nabla \mathrm{W}^+|^2 - 2 \int_M (\delta \mathrm{W}^+)^2 = \int_M 18\mathrm{det}(\mathrm{W}^+) - \frac{1}{2} \int_M \mathrm{S} |\mathrm{W}^+|^2. \tag{5.5}$$

Remark 5.2. *This is the same as* [11, Equation 3.23] *modulo out norm convention.*

Furthermore, as the self-dual and anti-self-dual part are operators on a vector space of dimension three, Lemma 5.1 yields, for ω the largest eigenvalue of W^+,

$$(\mathrm{W}^+)^3 \leq \frac{\omega}{2} |\mathrm{W}|^2.$$

So we recover the following result which is originally due to [30, Theorem 4.2].

Theorem 5.3. *Let (M^4, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold with harmonic self-dual Weyl tensor. ω denotes the largest eigenvalue of W^+. Suppose that, at each point,*

$$6\omega \leq \mathrm{S},$$

then (M, g) has parallel Weyl tensor.

It is interesting that another condition also leads to the desired rigidity.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3$ be eigenvalues of W^+. Suppose that,*

$$\lambda_1 \geq \frac{\mathrm{S}}{6} \text{ and } \lambda_3 \leq -\frac{\lambda_1}{2} - \lambda_1 \sqrt{\frac{3(\lambda_1 - \frac{\mathrm{S}}{6})}{4(3\lambda_1 + \frac{\mathrm{S}}{6})}}, \tag{5.6}$$

then we have,

$$\mathrm{S}|\mathrm{W}^+|^2 \geq 36 det(\mathrm{W}^+).$$

Proof. Let $\mu_i = \lambda_i + \frac{\mathrm{S}}{12}$. By direct computation, for $\mathrm{S}_2 = \sum_{i<j} \mu_i\mu_j, \mathrm{S}_3 = \prod_{i=1}^3 \mu_i$.

$$\mathrm{S}|\mathrm{W}^+|^2 - 36\mathrm{det}(\mathrm{W}^+) = \mathrm{S}\mathrm{S}_2 - 36\mathrm{S}_3.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume $\sum_{i=1}^3 \mu_i = \frac{\mathrm{S}}{4} = 3$. Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{S}_2 - 3\mathrm{S}_3 &= \mu_1\mu_3(1-3\mu_2) + (\mu_1+\mu_3)\mu_2,\\ &= \mu_1\mu_3 - 3\mu_1\mu_3(3-\mu_1-\mu_3) + (\mu_1+\mu_3)(3-\mu_1-\mu_3),\\ &= (3\mu_1-1)\mu_3^2 + (3\mu_1-1)(\mu_1-3)\mu_3 + (3-\mu_1)\mu_1.\end{aligned}$$

Next, we compute the determinant of that quadratic on μ_3,

$$\begin{aligned}\square &= (3\mu_1-1)^2(\mu_1-3)^2 - 4(3\mu_1-1)(3-\mu_1)\mu_1,\\ &= (3\mu_1-1)(\mu_1-3)(3\mu_1^2 - 10\mu_1 + 3 + 4\mu_1),\\ &= 3(3\mu_1-1)(\mu_1-3)(\mu_1-1)^2\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu_1 > 3$, the quadratic above is positive if and only if $\mu_3 \notin [x_1, x_2]$ where

$$x_{1,2} = -\frac{\mu_1-3}{2} \pm (\mu_1-1)\sqrt{\frac{3(\mu_1-3)}{4(3\mu_1-1)}}$$

As $\mu_3 < 0$ the only possibility is that $\mu_3 \leq x_1$. The statement now follows from normalization and the fact that $\mu_i = \frac{\mathrm{S}}{12} + \lambda_i$. □

Remark 5.3. *As a consequence, we also obtain a rigidity result assuming condition (5.6) and harmonic Weyl tensor.*

Next, we consider the proof of the integral rigidity statement, Theorem 4.7, in dimension four. First, without loss of generality, we can choose to work with W^+. Due to the discussion above, we have the sharp estimate:

$$2\left\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 + \mathrm{W}^\sharp \right\rangle = 18\mathrm{det}(\mathrm{W}^+) \leq \sqrt{6}|\mathrm{W}^+|^3. \tag{5.7}$$

The equality happens only if either $\mathrm{W}^+ = 0$ or it has exactly two distinct eigenvalues.

Thus, $c_1(4) = \sqrt{6}$. Then proceeding as earlier, Hölder and Sobolev inequalities, we obtain $\alpha = \frac{1}{3}$. the rigidity if assuming harmonic self-dual and the following inequality.

$$||\mathrm{W}||_{L^2} \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}\lambda(g).$$

Remark 5.4. *This result, however, is not new, see* [18, 16]. *And the inequality is shown to be sharp.*

5.2. **Pure curvature.** The pure curvature condition says that there is an orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$at each point such that the curvature is diagonalized with respect to the basis $\{e_i \wedge e_j\}_{i\neq j}$. Then, the only nontrivial component of the Weyl tensor is $\mathrm{W}_{ijij} \doteqdot w_{ij}$. It is observed that w is a symmetric matrix with the following properties:

- Each diagonal term is zero;
- The sum of each row is zero.

In this case, we can compute $\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 \rangle$ and $\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^\sharp \rangle$ as follows.

$$\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^\sharp \rangle = \sum_{i,j,k,l,p,q} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{ipkq}\mathrm{W}_{jplq} = 6\sum_{i,j,k} w_{ij}w_{ik}w_{kj};$$
$$\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i,j,k,l,p,q} \mathrm{W}_{ijkl}\mathrm{W}_{ijpq}\mathrm{W}_{klpq} = 2\sum_{ij} w_{ij}^3.$$

Recalling the following identity,

$$a^3 + b^3 + c^3 - 3abc = \frac{1}{2}(a+b+c)(3a^2+3b^2+3c^2-(a+b+c)^2).$$

Applying for each term $w_{ij}w_{ik}w_{kj}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^\sharp \rangle &= 6\sum_{i,j,k} w_{ij}w_{ik}w_{kj}, \\
&= \sum_{i\neq j\neq k} 2(w_{ij}^3 + w_{ik}^3 + w_{jk}^3) + (w_{ij}+w_{jk}+w_{ik})^3 \\
&\quad - 3(w_{ij}+w_{jk}+w_{ik})(w_{ij}^2 + w_{ik}^2 + w_{jk}^2), \\
&= 2(n-2)\sum_{ij} w_{ij}^3 + \sum_{i\neq j\neq k} (w_{ij}+w_{jk}+w_{ik})^3 - 3(n-4)\sum_{ij} w_{ij}^3, \\
&= \frac{8-n}{2}\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 \rangle + \sum_{i\neq j\neq k} (w_{ij}+w_{jk}+w_{ik})^3
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.7), the last term is just a summation of the Weyl generalized sectional curvature on 3-planes. In dimension four, as $4-3=1$, that term vanishes. In dimension five,

$$\sum_{i\neq j\neq k} (w_{ij}+w_{jk}+w_{ik})^3 = \sum_{mn} w_{mn}^3 = \frac{1}{2}\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 \rangle .$$

Thus, when $n \leq 5$, $\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^\sharp \rangle = 2\langle \mathrm{W}, \mathrm{W}^2 \rangle$. There is little evidence to suggest the equation still holds true in higher dimension.

References

[1] Thierry Aubin. Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 55(3):269–296, 1976.

[2] Marcel Berger. Sur quelques variétés riemanniennes suffisamment pincées. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 88:57–71, 1960.

[3] Marcel Berger. Sur quelques variétés d'Einstein compactes. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 53:89–95, 1961.

[4] Arthur L. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*, volume 10 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
[5] Christoph Böhm and Burkhard Wilking. Manifolds with positive curvature operators are space forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 167(3):1079–1097, 2008.
[6] T. Branson. Kato constants in Riemannian geometry. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 7(2-3):245–261, 2000.
[7] Simon Brendle. Einstein manifolds with nonnegative isotropic curvature are locally symmetric. *Duke Math. J.*, 151(1):1–21, 2010.
[8] Simon Brendle. *Ricci flow and the sphere theorem*, volume 111 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
[9] Xiaodong Cao and Hung Tran. The Weyl tensor of gradient Ricci solitons. *Geom. Topol.*, to appear.
[10] Giovanni Catino. Integral pinched shrinking ricci solitons. *arXiv:1509.07416*, 2015.
[11] Sun-Yung A. Chang, Matthew J. Gursky, and Paul C. Yang. A conformally invariant sphere theorem in four dimensions. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (98):105–143, 2003.
[12] Bennett Chow, Peng Lu, and Lei Ni. *Hamilton's Ricci flow*, volume 77 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
[13] A. Derdziński and W. Roter. On conformally symmetric manifolds with metrics of indices 0 and 1. *Tensor (N.S.)*, 31(3):255–259, 1977.
[14] Andrzej Derdziński. On compact Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature. *Math. Ann.*, 259(2):145–152, 1982.
[15] Hai-Ping Fu. On compact manifolds with harmonic curvature and positive scalar curvature. *arXiv:1512.00256*, 2015.
[16] Hai-Ping Fu. Four manifolds with harmonic weyl tensor and postive yamabe constant. *arXiv:1601.04796*, 2016.
[17] Alfred Gray. Einstein-like manifolds which are not Einstein. *Geom. Dedicata*, 7(3):259–280, 1978.
[18] Matthew J. Gursky. Four-manifolds with $\delta \mathrm{W}^+ = 0$. *Math. Ann.*, 318:417–431, 2000.
[19] Richard S. Hamilton. Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. *J. Differential Geom.*, 17(2):255–306, 1982.
[20] Emmanuel Hebey and Michel Vaugon. Effective L_p pinching for the concircular curvature. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 6(4):531–553 (1997), 1996.
[21] Gerhard Huisken. Ricci deformation of the metric on a Riemannian manifold. *J. Differential Geom.*, 21(1):47–62, 1985.
[22] Mitsuhiro Itoh and Hiroyasu Satoh. Isolation of the Weyl conformal tensor for Einstein manifolds. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 78(7):140–142, 2002.
[23] Ian Jack and Leonard Parker. Linear independence of renormalization counterterms in curved space-times of arbitrary dimensionality. *J. Math. Phys.*, 28(5):1137–1139, 1987.
[24] Seongtag Kim. Rigidity of noncompact complete manifolds with harmonic curvature. *Manuscripta Math.*, 135(1-2):107–116, 2011.
[25] John M. Lee and Thomas H. Parker. The yamabe problem. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 17(1):37–91, 1987.
[26] André Lichnerowicz. *Géométrie des groupes de transformations*. Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques, III. Dunod, Paris, 1958.
[27] Christophe Margerin. Pointwise pinched manifolds are space forms. In *Geometric measure theory and the calculus of variations (Arcata, Calif., 1984)*, volume 44 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 307–328. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
[28] Christophe Margerin. A sharp characterization of the smooth 4-sphere in curvature terms. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 6(1):21–65, 1998.
[29] Yozo Matsushima. Remarks on Kähler-Einstein manifolds. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 46:161–173, 1972.
[30] Mario J. Micallef and McKenzie Y. Wang. Metrics with nonnegative isotropic curvature. *Duke Math. J.*, 72(3):649–672, 1993.

[31] Seiki Nishikawa. Deformation of Riemannian metrics and manifolds with bounded curvature ratios. In *Geometric measure theory and the calculus of variations (Arcata, Calif., 1984)*, volume 44 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 343–352. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
[32] Peter Petersen. *Riemannian geometry*, volume 171. Springer, 2006.
[33] A. Polombo. De nouvelles formules de Weitzenböck pour des endomorphismes harmoniques. Applications géométriques. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 25(4):393–428, 1992.
[34] Richard Schoen. Conformal deformation of a riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 20:479–495, 1984.
[35] I. M. Singer and J. A. Thorpe. The curvature of 4-dimensional Einstein spaces. In *Global Analysis (Papers in Honor of K. Kodaira)*, pages 355–365. Univ. Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1969.
[36] Michael A. Singer. Positive Einstein metrics with small $L^{n/2}$-norm of the Weyl tensor. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 2(3):269–274, 1992.
[37] Shun-ichi Tachibana. A theorem of Riemannian manifolds of positive curvature operator. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 50:301–302, 1974.
[38] Shŭkichi Tanno. Curvature tensors and covariant derivatives. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 96:233–241, 1972.
[39] Gang Tian and Jeff Viaclovsky. Bach-flat asymptotically locally Euclidean metrics. *Invent. Math.*, 160(2):357–415, 2005.
[40] Neil S. Trudinger. Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3)*, 22:265–274, 1968.
[41] Hidehiko Yamabe. On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds. *Osaka Math. J.*, 12:21–37, 1960.

Department of Mathematics, UCI, Irvine, CA 92697